

How to keep regulatory data and documents up to date

Joseph Heer, Ph.D.
CHEMDOX, Inc.

Intro / Background

- Advanced degrees in Chemical Engineering & Chemical Physics
- 8 years university teaching experience (chemistry & physics)
- 20 years industrial experience (pulp & paper, glass, lubricants)
- 15 years experience as an SDS author and consultant.

Currently:

- Train & support users of CHEMDOX SDS Authoring software (North America, Europe and Australia)
- Provide additional regulatory consulting services through my company, Global Safety Data.

Presentation Intent

Keeping regulatory data and documents up to date is challenging!

Specific challenges:

- Continually changing national & international regulations
- Production changes & new product development
- Marketing & business demands
- Practical concerns (like when to update documents)

This presentation discusses these challenges and how to handle them, including strategies and tools that can help

Outline

- Quick overview of regulatory requirements and challenges
 - International, national & local regulations
 - Marketing demands & business concerns
 - Enforcement & other challenges
- Ways to approach these challenges
 - Case study - US HCS 2024 Transition
 - Case study - Product reformulation
- Summary & conclusions

Types of documents

EHS professionals contribute to many kinds of data & documents:

- Safety Data Sheets
- Labels & Technical Data Sheets
- Transport documents
- Worker protection instructions
- C&L, PCN, PMN submissions
- SARA & other regulatory reports, etc.

This presentation will focus mainly on SDS's & Labels
Principles apply to other types of data & documents

Overview of SDS Requirements

To be in compliance, Safety Data Sheets & Labels need to:

- Meet country-specific regulatory requirements
- Use the required language(s), format & wording
- Include sufficient & reliable information in all 16 sections
- Be consistent with hazard classifications in that country
- Be consistent with each other and with supporting documents

Many things to pay attention to ... and even if these are handled now, regulatory and other changes will require adjustments later

Challenges

Fundamental Challenge – System not harmonized

“Globally Harmonized System” is not completely harmonized.

Some things standardized (e.g. pictograms), but countries use:

- Different GHS-UN revisions & country-specific implementations
- “Required” or “recommended” chemical classifications
- Different requirements in different industries / segments
- Inconsistent requirements, even within same country

... and these requirements change over time!

GHS Revisions & Implementations

Countries implement GHS & transport regulations differently

- 11 different GHS Revisions, with at least 8 in current use
- Country-specific implementations – some countries don't use:
 - Environmental hazards
 - Combustible Dust hazards
 - Acute Toxicity Category 5
- Cutoff & concentration limit variations for same hazard categories
- State or county-level regulations (e.g CARB)




... and these regulations change over time!

“Required” chemical classifications




Some countries have “required” classifications for chemicals

Example: Toluene

EU CLP (required)

Overview of Legislation			GHS European Union (EU) ▼
  			Danger
2.6	2	Flammable liquid	H225
3.2	2	Skin corrosion/irritation	H315
3.7	2	Reproductive toxicity	H361d
3.8D	3	Specific target organ toxicity - single exposure (narcotic effects, drowsiness)	H336
3.9	2	Specific target organ toxicity - repeated exposure	H373
3.10	1	Aspiration hazard	H304

Japan (not required)

Overview of Legislation			GHS Japan (JP) ▼
  			Danger
2.6	2	Flammable liquid	H225
3.1I	4	Acute toxicity (inhal.)	H332
3.2	2	Skin corrosion/irritation	H315
3.3	2B	Serious eye damage/eye irritation	H320
3.7	1A	Reproductive toxicity	H360
3.7L	L	Effects on or via lactation	H362
3.8	1	Specific target organ toxicity - single exposure	H370
3.8R	3	Specific target organ toxicity - single exposure (respiratory tract irritation)	H335
3.8D	3	Specific target organ toxicity - single exposure (narcotic effects, drowsiness)	H336
3.9	1	Specific target organ toxicity - repeated exposure	H372
3.10	1	Aspiration hazard	H304
4.1A	2	Hazardous to the aquatic environment - acute hazard	H401
4.1C	3	Hazardous to the aquatic environment - chronic hazard	H412

... and these classifications change over time!

Cut-off & concentration variations

Cut-off and concentration limits vary by country, for same hazard

Examples:

- Resp. & Skin Sens: Limits = 0.01%, 0.1%, 0.2% or 1%
- Acute Tox, Cat. 1-3: Limits = 0.1% or 1%
- Carcinogenicity 2: Limits = 0.1% or 1%

... and these requirements can change over time!

Inconsistency within a country

Inconsistent regulatory requirements within a single country

Examples:

- US Env. Hazards: DOT requires, OSHA does not
- EU: Requirements vary by industrial setting and use
- Philippines: GHS Rev. 4, except for "household" products (Rev. 8)

... and these requirements can change over time!

Change over time

Regulations change over time, within same country or jurisdiction

Examples:

- EU: About 2 ATP's / year. In 2023, 56 new "Fragrance Allergens"
- US: Switching from GHS Rev. 3 to Rev. 7 by Jan. 2026
- Canada: Switching from GHS Rev. 5 to Rev. 7 by Dec. 2025
- Other national, state & local regulations change over time

New documents required even when product remains the same.

Example – EU 2020/878 requires:

- Adding ingredient ATE's and aquatic hazard “multiplying factors”
- Listing sensitizers that are present at 1/10th of their SCL
- Various wording and format changes

New Business Requirements

Additional challenges due to manufacturing and business concerns:

- New information from Raw Material suppliers (e.g. EU Allergens)
- Product reformulation & new product development
- Raw material variability and availability (e.g. Supply limitations)
- Efficiency & cost concerns (e.g. Cheaper sources)
- Marketing demands (e.g. “Consumer friendly” products, etc.)

... and these requirements can change over time

What about ...

- US HCS 2024 vs Canada's WHMIS 2022?
- Required wording changes? (e.g. Brasil header)
- OEL changes - How to keep track?
- Changes in labeling & transportation requirements?
- Tiny labels & reduced packaging? (e.g. EU, Chile)
- Changes in regulatory enforcement?
... and many more!

All of these can impact regulatory data & documents

SDS Compliance Checks

SDS's & Labels are often subject to "Compliance checks".

- Industry-specific. Can be manual or automated
- Often incorporate new or future regulatory changes

Documents that worked before may not meet new requirements

Examples:

- Shipping & Logistics companies (DHL, FedEx, OTR, etc.)
- Online sales companies (Amazon, Walmart Marketplace)
- European Automobile Manufacturer's Association:
https://www.acea.auto/files/AIG_V4.0_Annex_Q-SDS_Compliance_Checks_2021-EN.pdf

Keeping Data & Documents Up-To-Date

Need ways to track and manage these issues, where relevant
... and especially how they change over time!

Where to go for help?

- Public Regulatory bodies (e.g. ECHA, OSHA)
- Private Regulatory & Legal Consultants
- SDS & Label Authoring Software
- Industry Groups
- Product Experts

Many resources here at the Annual Meeting!

Case Studies - Introduction

How to approach case studies (1)

As we go through case studies, look for the common ideas:

- Understanding the challenge
- Focusing on the key issues
- Developing a strategy
- Getting tools & support
- Resolving the problem

How to approach case studies (2)

Your situation is unique. Ask yourself:

- How do these ideas apply to my own challenges?
- What tools and support do I need for my personal situation?
- Where can I get the help that I need?

Many resources here at the Annual Meeting can help!

Case study

Update to US HCS 2024

Update to HCS 2024 (1)

Situation: HCS 2024 becomes mandatory in Jan. 2026

- Switching from GHS Rev. 3 to Rev. 7 (with modifications)
- All SDS's and many labels need to be updated
- Some production changes may be needed

Requirements:

- Identify products where GHS & transport hazards will change
- Identify changes early, to smooth transition process
- Need to check all products, over time

Update to HCS 2024 (2)

Key Issues & Constraints:

- Need to identify products with classification changes early
- Limited EHS resources, need to focus on “urgent” situations first
- Need to keep existing & documents unchanged until ready

External deadlines:

- Substances: New documents needed by January 2026
- Mixtures: New documents needed by July 2027

Needed: Tools to predict HCS 2024 classification changes

Update to HCS 2024 (3)

Strategy - Substances:

- Find/develop tools to quickly predict classification changes
- Use tools to focus first on substances with significant changes
- Create new SDS's & labels for relevant substances by Jan 2026

Strategy - Mixtures:

- If substances don't change, mixtures won't change
- For substances with changes, identify affected mixtures
- Use tools to determine classification changes
- As needed, adjust SDS's, labels, transport documents

Update to HCS 2024 (4)

Substance Analysis:

Substance Name	CAS #	Characterization	Required Updates
Citric acid	77-92-9	Organic acid	GHS-US, DOT
Limonene	5989-27-5	Organic acid	GHS-US, DOT
Isopropylcyclohexane	696-29-7	Aliphatic solvent	GHS-US

Mixture Analysis:

Mixture Name	Part #	Affected substances	Required Updates
Sparkle Cleaner – Citrus	SC-1242	Citric acid	GHS-US, DOT
Sparkle Cleaner – Citrus	SC-1242	Limonene	GHS-US, DOT
Painter's Pal	PP-3804	Isopropylcyclohexane	GHS-US

Update to HCS 2024 (5)

Action steps:

- Use mixture analysis to determine next steps
- Adjust SDS's, labels & transport documents as needed
- Initiate production changes, where appropriate

Benefits:

- Identifying affected mixtures early reduces effort and costs
- EHS involved “up-front”, so easier to keep regulatory data and documents up to date

And that's not all!

Production changes can affect your regulatory work ...

- Changes in raw materials, suppliers
- Product development, new product lines, etc.

... and Regulatory changes can affect your actual production ...

- New information on supplier SDS's (e.g EU Fragrance Allergens)
- Changes due to other regulatory restrictions

Regulatory work is inextricably linked to production changes
... and EHS may be involved in unexpected ways

Case study

Product Reformulation

Product Reformulation (1)

Situation: Due to regulatory changes, I need to reformulate my product. EHS is asked to suggest less hazardous formulas

Requirement: Create a product formula that reduces the hazards, while keeping development costs low.

Key Issues & Constraints: Product reformulation needs to

- Meet the technical specifications.
- Minimize the classification hazards
- Minimize development time & testing costs

Product Reformulation (2)

Strategy:

- Work with experts to identify minimum required concentrations
- For hazardous ingredients, determine hazard cut-off limits
- Propose trial formulas using concentrations below cut-off limits
- Formulate & test trial formulas vs. technical requirements

Support & Tools:

- Tools to calculate hazard cut-off limits for required chemicals.

Product Reformulation (3)

Strategy ("Painter's Pal"):

Required ingredients: Toluene (>5%), Pentylcyclohexane (>8%)

Method: Use GHS “Bridging Principles” to estimate hazards for various ingredient concentrations without actual test data

Tools: Software to calculate hazard cutoffs based on ingredient concentrations

Product Reformulation (4)

Toluene hazard cutoffs at 0.1%, 1%, 2.5%, **10%, 20%, 25%**

Minimum concentration = 5%. Trial concentrations: 9% & 19%

Skin Damage / Irritation	Cutoff
Cat. 1 (Damage)	NA
Cat. 2 (Irritation)	>10%
Acute Aquatic Toxicity	Cutoff
Cat. 1	NA
Cat. 2	>25%
Cat. 3	>2.5%
Aspiration Toxicity	Cutoff
Cat. 1	>10%

Reproductive Toxicity	Cutoff
Cat. 1	NA
Cat. 2	>0.1%
STOT Single Exp.	
Cat. 3	>20%
STOT Repeat Exp.	Cutoff
Cat. 1	NA
Cat. 2	>1%

Product Reformulation (5)

Pentylcyclohexane hazard cutoffs at 0.25%, 2.5%, **10%, 25%**

Minimum concentration = 8%. Trial concentrations: 9% & 24%

Acute Oral Toxicity	Cutoff
Cat. 1	NA
Cat. 2	NA
Cat. 3	NA
Cat. 4	>25%
Cat. 5	>10%
Eye Damage / Irritation	Cutoff
Cat. 1 (Damage)	NA
Cat. 2 (Irritation)	>10%

Acute Aquatic Toxicity	Cutoff
Cat. 1	>25%
Cat. 2	>2.5%
Cat. 3	>0.25%
Chronic Aquatic Toxicity	Cutoff
Cat. 1	>25%
Cat. 2	>2.5%
Cat. 3	>0.25%

Product Reformulation (6)

Proposed test levels to reduce hazards:

- Toluene (T): 9% & 19%
- Pentylcyclohexane (PCH): 9% & 24%

Four mixture combinations to test:

9% T, 9% PCH

9% T, 24% PCH

19% T, 9% PCH

19% T, 24% PCH

Product Reformulation (7)

Action steps:

- Propose mixtures with less toluene & pentylcyclohexane
- Have mixtures tested against required technical specifications
- Identify best options, and implement in production

Benefits:

- Reduces development costs during product reformulation.
- EHS involved “up-front”. Easier to update needed documents and keep regulatory information up to date.

Summary & Conclusions

Summary & Conclusions

- Keeping data & documents up to date can be very challenging
- ... But these challenges can be handled by:
 - Understanding the challenge
 - Focusing on the key issues
 - Developing a strategy
 - Getting the necessary tools & support
 - Taking appropriate actions
- Many resources available to help meet these challenges!
(SCHC courses & meetings, software & support companies, etc.)

Questions?



CHEMDOX[®]

...because safety matters.